

Support Project Prioritization Worksheet

Project Name: _____

Statement of Need

Score

- | | |
|--|-------|
| 1) Provides services or support that will be targeted toward a local or statewide NPS pollution management priority. 0-10 pts. | _____ |
| 2) Size of the project area is appropriate for the type of services being provided and the project budget. 0-5 pts. | _____ |
| 3) Directly or indirectly addresses NPS pollution sources and causes impacting beneficial uses (e.g., recreation, aquatic life, drinking water, etc.). 0-10 pts. | _____ |
| 4) Services and/or support will enable local or statewide NPS pollution management projects to more effectively address NPS pollution priorities. 0-10 pts. | _____ |
| 5) Process established to ensure timely and efficient delivery of services or support. 0-5 pts. | _____ |
| 6) Services or support will be targeted toward (Select only one): | |
| (a) Waterbodies with approved TMDL's or active 319 watersheds - 20 pts. | |
| (b) 303(d) listed waterbodies or specific NPS pollution sources/causes - 15 pts. | |
| (c) A specific geographic area and/or river basin(s) - 10 pts. | |
| (d) Any watershed/statewide - 5 pts. | _____ |
| SUBTOTAL | _____ |

Goals, Objectives, and Tasks

Score

- | | |
|--|-------|
| 1) Project goal is consistent with local or statewide needs for support or services. 0-10 pts. | _____ |
| 2) Objective and Task outputs or products are measurable and appropriate for evaluating progress/success. 0-10 pts. | _____ |
| 3) Amount of services or support is adequate for addressing identified needs. 0-10 pts. | _____ |
| 4) Level of technical assistance is appropriate for size and scope of the project. 0-5 pts. | _____ |
| 5) Sufficient public outreach and educational events are scheduled and targeted toward the appropriate audience. 0-5pts. | _____ |
| SUBTOTAL | _____ |

Coordination**Score**

1) Demonstrates a willingness and ability to coordinate with the appropriate local/state/federal programs or organizations. 0-5 pts.

2) Avoids duplication of services or support provided by other projects/programs. 0-5 pts.

SUBTOTAL

Monitoring and Evaluation**Score**

1) Evaluation measures are sufficient and appropriately scheduled. 0-5 pts

2) Information collected will be appropriate for gauging progress toward project goals and objectives. 0-5 pts.

SUBTOTAL

Budget**Score**

1) Costs are well defined, reasonable and appropriate for the identified goals. 0-5 pts

2) Sufficient non-federal local/state support is budgeted to match the requested Section 319 funding. [No - 0 pts.] or [Yes - 10 pts.].

SUBTOTAL

TOTAL SCORE

Funding Recommendation**Priority Ranking**

_____ Fully Fund

_____ High Priority (90-135 points)

_____ Partially Fund at more than 50% of requested amount.

_____ Medium Priority (45-89 points)

_____ Partially Fund at less than 50% of requested amount

_____ Low Priority (< 45 points)

_____ Do not Fund

Comments: _____

